

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, M.A Do Thi Mai Thanh, for her useful guidance, insightful comments, and considerable encouragements to complete this thesis.

I wish to express my special thanks to all my lecturers in Vietnam National University, Hanoi for their precious assistance, scholarly knowledge and enthusiasm.

Great deals appreciated go to the contribution of all my friends for their assistances in my data collection.

I would also want to send my appreciation to all the research participants. Without their valuable opinions and ideas on the questionnaires, the research would not have been accomplished.

Last but not least, I would like to express my indebtedness to my parents, my cousins and my best friends who have given me constant support and love during the completion of the thesis.

Doan Ngoc Anh

ABSTRACT

This thesis focuses on the similarities and differences in the speech act of comforting among students in American and Vietnamese cultures. The objectives of the present study are to investigate: (i) what topics Vietnamese and American students suggest comforting in their culture, (ii) what comforting strategies Vietnamese and American students use in the situations studied, (iii) what and how socio-cultural factors; namely gender and relationship affect the choice of Vietnamese and American students' comforting strategies in the situations studied.

Topics and strategies realized for comforting are analyzed with data taken from two questionnaires for the Vietnamese and the English students. The first type of questionnaire is Metapragmatic one which was used to explore the appropriate situations' topics for comforting in two subjects' cultures and to test the validity and reliability of the situations' topics. The second questionnaire based on discourse completion task which was used to elicit some forms of comforting from native students of American English and Vietnamese. The results of the DCT were collected from 15 AES and 22 VNS and their responses were classified into eight comforting strategies with the type of quantitative analysis.

The results gave out significant differences as well as similarities in the use of topics and strategies between AES and VNS across two situations. Besides, the socio-cultural factors of relationship and gender did have more or less influence on the preference of topics and strategies for comforting peers in which the influence of the relationship is greater than the effect of gender. Therefore, being aware of pragmatic competence is of great importance in cross-cultural communication.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

* Acknowledgements	i
* Abstract	ii
* Table of contents.....	iii
* List of abbreviations	v
* List of tables	vi
* List of figures and illustrations.....	vii
PART I: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1. Rationale	1
2. Aims of the study	2
3. Significance of the study.....	2
4. Scope of the study	3
5. Structure of the study	3
PART II: DEVELOPMENT.....	5
CHAPTER 1: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	5
1.1. Speech acts.....	5
1.2. Comforting	6
1.2.1. Definition of comforting	6
1.2.2. Comforting as a speech act	6
1.2.3. Socio-cultural factors affecting comforting	8
1.3. Comforting and discourse strategy	9
CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY	14
2.1. Research questions.....	14
2.2. Data collection instrument	14
2.3. Content of the questionnaires	15
2.4. Selection of subjects.....	16
2.5. Data collection procedure.....	16
2.6. Data analysis.....	17

CHAPTER 3: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION.....	18
3.1. Overall advisable topics and use of strategies for comforting.....	18
3.1.1. Overall advisable topics for comforting.....	18
3.1.2. Overall use of strategies for comforting.....	19
3.2. Use of comforting topics and strategies- as seen from socio-cultural factors	21
3.2.1. Relationship.....	21
3.2.1.1. Advisable topics for comforting by relationship.....	21
3.2.1.2. Choice of comforting strategies by relationship in situation 1....	22
3.2.1.3. Choice of comforting strategies by relationship in situation 2.....	26
3.2.2. Gender.....	31
3.2.2.1. Advisable topics for comforting by gender	31
3.2.2.2. Choice of strategies by gender in situation 1	32
3.2.2.3. Choice of strategies by gender in situation 1	35
3.3. Discussion	38
3.3.1. Overall use of comforting topics and strategies	38
3.3.2. Factor of relationship	38
3.3.3. Factor of gender	39
<u>PART III: CONCLUSION</u>	41
1. Summary of the findings	41
2. Implication for CCC	41
3. Recommendation for further study	43

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- AES:** American English students
CCC: Cross cultural-communication
DCT: Discourse completion task
FEA: Face enhancing act

H: Hearer
MPQ: Metapragmatic questionnaire
S: Speaker
VNS: Vietnamese students

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1. Frequency of preferred comforting topics for AES and VNS	18
Table 3.2. Frequency of preferred strategies for AES and VNS	20
Table 3.3. Frequency of advisable topics for comforting by relationship	21

Table 3.4. Frequency of strategies by relationship in the love split-up situation.....	22
Table 3.5. Frequency of preferred strategies by relationship in the death-of-loved-one situation.....	27
Table 3.6. Frequency of advisable topics for comforting by gender.....	31
Table 3.7. Frequency of preferred strategies by gender in love-split-up situation.....	32
Table 3.8. Frequency of strategies by gender in death-of-loved-one situation....	35

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1. Frequency of preferred comforting topics for AES and VNS.....	19
Figure 3.2. Frequency of overall strategies for AES and VNS.....	20

Figure 3.3. Frequency of strategies for close friends in the love split-up situation.....	23
Figure 3.4. Frequency of strategies for acquaintances in the love split-up situation.....	24
Figure 3.5. Frequency of strategies by nodding acquaintance in the love-split up situation.....	25
Figure 3.6. Frequency of strategies by close friends in the death-of-loved-one situation.....	28
Figure 3.7. Frequency of strategies by acquaintances in the death-of-loved-one situation.....	29
Figure 3.8. Frequency of strategies by nodding acquaintances in death-of-loved-one situation.....	30
Figure 3.9. Frequency of strategies by same sex in the love split-up situation.....	33
Figure 3.10. Frequency of strategies by opposite sex in the love split-up situation.....	34
Figure 3.11. Frequency of strategies by same sex in the death-of-loved-one situation.....	36
Figure 3.12. Frequency of strategies by opposite sex in the death-of-loved-one situation.....	37