

THE CORRELATION BETWEEN VOCABULARY LEARNING STRATEGIES AND VOCABULARY KNOWLEDGE OF ENGLISH-SPECIALIZED STUDENTS AT LE HONG PHONG GIFTED HIGH SCHOOL

MỐI TƯƠNG QUAN GIỮA PHƯƠNG PHÁP HỌC TỪ VỰNG VÀ KIẾN THỨC TỪ VỰNG CỦA HỌC SINH CHUYÊN ANH TRƯỜNG THPT CHUYÊN LÊ HỒNG PHONG

Abstract

This study was conducted in an attempt to explore the correlation between direct and indirect vocabulary learning strategies with the depth and breadth of vocabulary knowledge of English-specialized students at Le Hong Phong Gifted High School in Nam Dinh City. A total of 186 students completed a questionnaire concerning vocabulary learning strategy use. Subsequently, Vocabulary Levels Test (Schmitt et al., 2001) and Word Associates Test (Read, 1993; Read, 2004) were administered to measure the breadth and depth of lexical repertoire respectively. The results indicated that direct strategies were more frequently used by the students. Moreover, it was found that participants' scores in strategy use correlated significantly and positively with breadth and depth of vocabulary knowledge. Indirect strategy use had a quite high correlation with two dimensions of vocabulary knowledge, implying that the students that had a large vocabulary size and a deep understanding of lexical repertoire tended to combine both direct and indirect strategies instead of using only one kind. Also, this highlights the importance of direct and indirect cognitive strategies, e.g., self-planning, self-monitoring, and self-evaluating. Relevant pedagogical implications were also discussed in the last chapter of this paper.

References

- Celce-Murcia, M. (ed). (2000). *Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language* (3rd Edition). Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
- Benson, P. (2001). *Teaching and researching autonomy in language learning*. Harlow, England: Longman.
- Dickinson, L. (1987). *Self-instruction in language learning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Fan, M. (2003). Frequency of use, perceived usefulness, and actual usefulness of second language vocabulary strategies: A study of Hong Kong Learners. *The Modern Language Journal*, 83(2), 222–241.
- Gremmo, M-J. & Riley, P. (1995). Autonomy, self-direction and self-access in language teaching and learning: the history of an idea. *System*, 23(2), 151-164.
- Gu, Y. Q., & Johnson, R. (1996). Vocabulary learning strategies and language outcomes. *Language Learning*, 46(4), 643– 679.
- Harmer, J. (2001). *The practice of English language teaching* (3rd ed.). London, England: Pearson Education.
- Holec, H. (1981). *Autonomy and foreign language learning*. Oxford, England: Pergamon.
- Holec, H. (1988). *Autonomy and self-directed learning: Present fields of application*. Strasbourg, France: Council of Europe.
- Humphreys, G., & Wyatt, M. (2014). Helping Vietnamese university learners to become more autonomous. *ELT Journal*, 68(1), 52-63.
- Huyen, T. (ed). (2004). *Vocabulary learning strategies employed by students of English at Quy Nhon University* (Unpublished MA. Thesis). Hanoi: Vietnam National University.
- Ill és, E. (2012). Learner autonomy revisited. *ELT Journal*, 66(4), 505-513.
- Lawson, M. J., & Hogben, D. (1996). The vocabulary-learning strategies of foreign-language students. *Language Learning*, 46(1), 101–135.
- Littlewood, W. (1999). Defining and developing autonomy in East Asian contexts. *Applied Linguistics*, 20(1), 71-94.
- McCarthy, M. (1992). *Vocabulary* (2ed.). Oxford University Press (OUP).
- Meara, P. (1980). *Vocabulary acquisition: a neglected aspect of language learning*. Cambridge University Press.
- Milton, J. (2009). *Measuring Second Language Vocabulary acquisition*. Great Britain. Short Run Press.
- Nation, P. (ed). (1990). *Teaching and Learning Vocabulary*. New York: Newbury House Publishers.
- Nation, I.S.P. (2001). *Learning vocabulary in another language*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

- O' Malley, J. Michael & Chamot, Anna Uhl. (1990). *Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition*. Cambridge University Press.
- Oxford, R. L. (1990). *Language learning strategies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schmitt, N., Schmitt, D., & Clapham, C. (2001). Developing and exploring the behavior of two new versions of the Vocabulary Levels Test. *Language Testing*, 18(1), 55-88.
- Su, M.H. (2005). A study of EFL technological and vocational college students' language learning strategies and their selfperceived English proficiency. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 2(1), 44-56.
- Stone, C. M. (1990). Autonomy, emotions and desires: Some problems concerning R.F. Dearden's account of autonomy. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 24(2), 271-283.
- Taylor, L. (1990). *Teaching and Learning Vocabulary*. New York: Prentice Hall.
- Teng, F. (2014a). Research into practice: Strategies for teaching and learning vocabulary. *Beyond Words*, 2(2), 41-57.
- Teng, F. (2014b). Assessing the depth and breadth of vocabulary knowledge with listening comprehension. *PASAA*, 48(2), 29- 56.
- Teng, F. (2015a). Assessing Learner Autonomy and EFL Vocabulary Acquisition: A Case Study. *Beyond Words*, 3(1), 51-75.
- Qian, D.D. (2002). Investigating the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and academic reading comprehension: An assessment perspective. *Language Learning*, 52, 513-536.
- Wenden, A. and Rubin, J. (Eds.). (1987). *Learner Strategies In Language Learning*. New York: Prentice Hall.
- Wilkins, D. (1972). *Linguistics in language teaching*. London: Arnold.
- Xiang, P.L., & Fulcher, G. (2007). Reliability assessment for two versions of Vocabulary Levels Test. *System*, 35, 182-197.
- Zhang, L.J., & Wu, A.J. (2009). Chinese senior high school EFL students' metacognitive awareness and reading-strategy use. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 21(1), 37-59.