

TEACHER'S USE OF SCAFFOLDING IN ENGLISH SPEAKING LESSONS FOR MAINSTREAM FRESHMEN AT FELTE, ULIS

HOẠT ĐỘNG TẠO BƯỚC ĐỆM GỢI Ý (SCAFFOLDING) CỦA GIÁO VIÊN TRONG GIẢNG DẠY KỸ NĂNG NÓI CHO SINH VIÊN NĂM NHẤT CHUYÊN NGÀNH SƯ PHẠM TIẾNG ANH TẠI ĐẠI HỌC NGOẠI NGỮ

ABSTRACT

The current research aimed at identifying the scaffolding tools used by teachers in speaking lessons for FELTE freshmen and the reasons behind their choices of those tools as well as investigating the effectiveness of this practice from students' perspective. To attain those objectives, qualitative approach was adopted for data collection and analysis. Specifically, data was collected from five teachers and twenty-three students by means of two research instruments namely classroom observations and semi-structured interviews. Data analysis revealed that teachers utilized all six scaffolding tools in English speaking instruction for FELTE freshmen namely *feeding back*, *hints*, *instructing*, *explaining*, *modelling* and *questioning*. Of these, *questioning* was ranked as the most commonly used scaffolding tool whereas the other tools were observed with lower frequency. It is also noteworthy that more scaffolding was embedded in speaking instruction in English for Academic Purposes lessons than in English for Social Purposes lessons. Six underlying reasons for teachers' choices of scaffolding tools were revealed, including the *characteristics of instructional tasks*, *learners' academic background*, *learners' cognitive development*, *learners' exposure to the target language*, *learners' motivation* and *time management*. Although students were highly appreciative of teacher's scaffolding in speaking instruction, the effectiveness of this practice might be hindered by *learners' passivity* as well as *the discrepancy between teacher's actual scaffolding and students' expectation of teacher's support*. Based on the insightful findings, the study proposed some practical implications for teachers to improve their practice of scaffolding in EFL speaking instruction.

REFERENCES

- Al Aila, Mahmoud Z. (2015). Effectiveness of Using Scaffolding Strategy on Developing Seven Grader's Reading Comprehension Skill (Thesis Paper, The Islamic University of Gaza, 2015).
- Al-Yami, S. A. (2008). The Effectiveness of scaffolding interactive activities in developing the English listening comprehension skills of the sixth grade elementary schoolgirls in Jeddah. Online Submission.
- Alibali, M (2006). *Does visual scaffolding facilitate students' mathematics learning? Evidence from early algebra.* Retrieved April 30, 2019 from <http://ies.ed.gov/funding/grantsearch/details.asp?ID=54>
- Allwright, D. (1984). Why don't learners learn what teachers teach? The Interaction Hypothesis. In Singleton, D. & Little, D. (eds.) *Language Learning in Formal and Informal Contexts*. Dublin: Irish Association of Applied Linguistics.
- Baralt, L. (2013). *Ways digital scaffolds are used during collaborative problem-solving in the preschool classroom.* PhD Dissertation. University of Florida.
- Bailey, K. M. (2005). *Practical English Language Teaching: Speaking*. New York: McGraw-Hill. Faculty of Arts, 2004. Undergraduate Catalog. Nakhon Pathom: Silpakorn University Press.
- Brophy, J. (1999). Toward a model of the value aspects of motivation in education: Developing appreciation for particular learning domains and activities. *Educational Psychologist*, 34,75–85.
- Bruner, J. S. (1981). The social context of language acquisition. *Language & Communication*, 1, 155-178.
- Bueno, A., Madrid, D., & McLaren, N. (2006). *TEFL in Secondary Education*. Granada: Editorial Universidad de Granada.
- Burnard, P. (1991). A method of analysing interview transcripts in qualitative research. *Nurse Education Today* 11, 461–466.
- Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1, 1-47.
- Cazden, C. (1979). Peekaboo as an instructional model: Discourse development at home

- and at school. Papers and reports on child language development, No. 17. Stanford University, CA, Department of Linguistics.
- Cazden, C. B. (1988). *Classroom discourse: The language of teaching and learning*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Chaney, A. L., & Burk, T. L. (1998). *Teaching Oral Communication in Grades K-8*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Check, J., & Schutt, R. K. (2011). *Research methods in education*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Chiu, M. M. (2004). Adapting teacher interventions to student needs during cooperative learning: How to improve student problem solving and time on-task. *American Educational Research Journal*, 41, 365–399. doi: 10.3102/00028312041002365.
- Creswell, J. W. (1998). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five designs*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Deakin, H., & Wakefield, K. (2013). Skype interviewing: Reflections of two PhD researchers. *Qualitative Research*. DOI: .10.1177/1468794113488126
- DeWalt, Kathleen M. & DeWalt, Billie R. (2002). *Participant observation: a guide for fieldworkers*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- Division 1 Lecturers. (2018). *English for Academic Purposes 2 – Course Guide*. Division of English 1.
- Division 1 Lecturers. (2018). *English for Social Purposes 2 – Course Guide*. Division of English 1.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2007). *Research methods in applied linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dulock, H. (1993). Research Design: Descriptive Research. *Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing*, 10(4), 154-157. doi: 10.1177/104345429301000406
- Elo, S., & Kyng äs, H. (2008). The qualitative content analysis process. *Journal Of Advanced Nursing*, 62(1), 107-115. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04569.x
- Fenner, D. (2018). Scaffolding Instruction for English Learners. Retrieved from <https://getsupported.net/scaffolding-instruction-for-english-learners/>
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2010). *Guided instruction: How to Develop Confident and Successful Learners*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

- Fox, N. (1998). *Trent Focus for Research and Development in Primary Health Care: How to Use Observations in a Research Project*. Trent Focus.
- Gibbons, P. (2002). *Scaffolding Language Scaffolding Learning: Teaching Second Language Learners in the Mainstream*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Gill, P., Stewart, K., Treasure, E., & Chadwick, B. (2008). Methods of data collection in qualitative research: interviews and focus groups. *British Dental Journal*, 204(6), 291-295. doi: 10.1038/bdj.2008.192
- Goh, C. (2007). *Teaching speaking in the language classroom*. Singapore: SEAMEO Regional Language Centre.
- Graves, M. F., & Graves, B. B. (2003). *Scaffolding reading experiences: Designs for student success* (2nd ed.). Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon.
- Hammond, J. (2001). *Scaffolding: Teaching and Learning in Language and Literacy Education* (1st ed.). Australia: Primary English Teaching Association.
- Hannafin, M., Land, S., & Oliver, K. (1999). Open learning environments: Foundations, methods, and models. *Instructional-Design Theories and Models: A New Paradigm of Instructional Theory*, 2, 115-140.
- Harmer, J. (2007). *How to teach English*. Harlow: Pearson Longman.
- Hartman, H. (2002). Scaffolding & Cooperative Learning. *Human Learning and Instruction* (pp. 23-69). New York: City College of City University of New York.
- Heale, R., & Forbes, D. (2013). Understanding triangulation in research. *Evidence Based Nursing*, 16(4), 98-98. doi: 10.1136/eb-2013-101494
- Hoang, T. H. H, & Bui, T. A. D. (n.d). Enhancing Ulis 4th Year Students' Speaking Skills through Using Job Search Simulations. Retrieved from http://www.vnseameo.org/TESOLConference2017/materials/03_HOANG%20Thi%20Hong%20Hai_Enhancing%20ULIS%204th%20year%20students.pdf
- Hogan, K. and Pressley, M. (1997). *Scaffolding student learning: Instructional approaches and issues*. Cambridge: Brookline Books.
- Johnson, B. & Christensen, L. (2012). *Educational Research, Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Approach*. (4th ed.). California: SAGE Publication.
- Johnson, K. E. (2009). *Second language teacher education: a sociocultural perspective*. London: Routledge.

- Kareema, F. (2014). Increasing Student Talk Time in The ESL Classroom: An Investigation of Teacher Talk Time and Student Talk Time. *The fourth International Symposium of SEUSL*. Sri Lanka.
- Kayi-Aydar, H. (2013). Scaffolding language learning in an academic ESL classroom. *ELT Journal*, 67(3), 324-335. doi: 10.1093/elt/cct016
- Kerr, P. (2017). *How much time should we give to speaking practice?* Part of the Cambridge Papers in ELT series. [pdf] Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Khuong, C. (2015). Teaching English Grammar Communicatively: Theories, Principles and Implications in English Teaching in Vietnam. *International Journal of English Language Teaching*, 2(2). doi: 10.5430/ijelt.v2n2p68
- Kidwai, K., Mynuofu, M., Swain, W. J., Ausman, B. D., Lin, H., & Dwyer, F., (2004). *Effect of visual scaffold and animation on students' performance on measure of higher order learning*. Paper presented at the selected research and development presentations at the national convention of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (AECT), Chicago, IL.
- Knupfer, N. N. & McLellan, H. (1996). Descriptive research methodologies. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research for educational communications and technology* (pp. 1196-1212). New York: Macmillan.
- Lambert, V. A., & Lambert, C. E. (2012). Qualitative Descriptive Research: An Acceptable Design. *Pacific Rim International Journal of Nursing Research*, 16(4), 255-256.
- Littlewood, W., & Yu, B. (2009). First language and target language in the foreign language classroom. *Language Teaching*, 44(01), 64-77. doi: 10.1017/s0261444809990310
- Lopez, L. (2013). Induction and Deduction as Entry Points into Qualitative Data Analysis: How Can Early Analytical Choices Affect The Theorizing Process?. *Proceedings Of The Nineteenth Americas Conference On Information Systems*.
- Magno, C. (2011). The Effect of Scaffolding on Children's Reading Speed, Reading Anxiety, and Reading Proficiency. *TESOL Journal*. 3. 92-98.
- Martin, L. (2012). A Look at Ability Grouping vs. Cooperative Learning. *Education*

and Human Development Master's Thesis. 376.

- Mercer, N. (1998). *The guided construction of knowledge*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Merriam, S. B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Nattiv, A. (1994). Behaviors and Math Achievement Gain of Students Using Cooperative Learning. *The Elementary School Journal*, 285-297.
- Nehls, K., Smith, B. D., & Schneider, H. A. (2015). Video-conferencing interviews in qualitative research. In S. Hai-Jew (Ed.), *Enhancing qualitative and mixed methods research with technology* (pp. 140–157). Hershey: IGI Global.
- Nguyen, M. (2013). EFL Students' Reflections on Peer Scaffolding in Making a Collaborative Oral Presentation. *English Language Teaching*, 6(4). doi: 10.5539/elt.v6n4p64
- Nunan, D. (1991) *Language Teaching Methodology*. UK: Prentice Hall International.
- Nunan, D. (2003). *Practical English language teaching*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Patton, M. Q. (2001). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods*. (3rd ed.). Saint Paul, MN: Sage Publications.
- Podolefsky N. S., Moore E. B. and Perkins K. K., (2014), Implicit scaffolding in interactive simulations: Design strategies to support multiple educational goals.
- Poehner, M. (2012). The Zone of Proximal Development and the genesis of self-assessment. *The Modern Language Journal*, 96(4), 610-622.
- Polit D. F. & Beck C. T. (2010) *Essentials of Nursing Research: Appraising Evidence for Nursing Practice*, 7th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- Puntambekar, S., & Hubscher, R. (2005). Tools for Scaffolding Students in a Complex Learning Environment: What Have We Gained and What Have We Missed?. *Educational Psychologist*, 40(1), 1-12. doi: 10.1207/s15326985ep4001_1
- Rahi, S. (2017) *Research Design and Methods: A Systematic Review of Research*

- Paradigms, Sampling Issues and Instruments Development. *Int J Econ Manag Sci* 6: 403. doi: 10.4172/2162-6359.1000403
- Ratner, C. (2002). Subjectivity and Objectivity in Qualitative Methodology [29 paragraphs]. *Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 3(3), Art. 16, <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0114-fqs0203160>.
- Razgulina-Lytsy, V. (2012). Scaffolding Adult Immigrant Students in an EFL Lesson. (Unpublished master's thesis). University of Jyväskylä., Finland.
- Richards, J., & Rodgers, T. (2001). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Roehler, R. L. & Cantlon, D. C. (1997). Scaffolding: A powerful tool in social constructivist classrooms.
- Safa, M. A., & Rozati, F. (2016). The impact of scaffolding and nonscaffolding strategies on the EFL learners' listening comprehension development. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 110(5), 447-456. doi: 10.1080/00220671.2015.1118004
- Santoso, A. (2010). *Scaffolding an EFL (English as a foreign language) 'effective writing' class in a hybrid learning community*. Professional Doctorate thesis, Queensland University of Technology.
- Savage, J. (2000). Participative Observation: Standing in the Shoes of Others? *Qualitative Health Research*, 10(3), 324-339.
- Schreier, M. (2012). *Qualitative content analysis in practice*. Sage Publications.
- Scrivener, J. (2011). *Learning teaching*. Oxford: Macmillan Education.
- Siemon, D., & Virgona, J. (2003). *Identifying and describing teachers' scaffolding practices in mathematics*, NZARE/AARE Conference. Auckland, New Zealand.
- Sutton, J., & Austin, Z. (2015). Qualitative Research: Data Collection, Analysis, and Management. *The Canadian Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, 68(3). doi: 10.4212/cjhp.v68i3.1456
- Tesch, R. (1990) *Qualitative Research: Analysis Types and Software Tools*. Palmer PA, Bristol.
- Tharp, R. G. & Gallimore, R. (1988). *Rousing minds to life. Teaching, learning, and schooling in social context*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Ur, P. (1996). *A course in language teaching: Practice and theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Van de Pol, J. (2012). *Scaffolding in teacher-student interaction. Exploring, measuring, promoting and evaluating scaffolding*. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <https://dare.uva.nl/search?identifier=640b82ba-c27b-42d3-b9f8-fe08b1e0081f&fbclid>
- Van de Pol, J., Volman, M., & Beishuizen, J. (2010). Scaffolding in teacher–student interaction: A decade of research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(3), 271-296.
- Van Zoest, L. R., & Stockero, S. L. (2008). Synergistic scaffolds as a means to support preservice teachers learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(8), 2038-2048.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Walqui, A. (2006). Scaffolding Instruction for English Language Learners: A Conceptual Framework. *International Journal Of Bilingual Education And Bilingualism*, 9(2), 159-180. doi: 10.1080/13670050608668639
- White, M., & Marsh, E. (2006). Content Analysis: A Flexible Methodology. *Library Trends*, 55(1), 22-45. doi: 10.1353/lib.2006.0053
- Whittemore, R., Chase, S., & Mandle, C. (2001). Validity in Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 11(4), 522-537. doi: 10.1177/104973201129119299
- Wood, D. J., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The Role of Tutoring in Problem Solving. *Journal of Child Psychiatry and Psychology*, 17, 89-100.

