

THE USE OF PEER FEEDBACK TRAINING IN AN EFL WRITING COURSE:A  
COLLABORATIVE ACTION RESEARCH

THỰC HIỆN TẬP HUẤN CHO SINH VIÊN VỀ HOẠT ĐỘNG NHẬN XÉT CHO  
BẠN HỌC: NGHIÊN CỨU HÀNH ĐỘNG HỢP TÁC

**ABSTRACT**

This study aims at investigating the impacts of peer feedback training on peer feedback activity of English-majored sophomores in a writing course within a TESOL honours program. It also explores the extent to which peer feedback practice is beneficial for students' writing competence. In order to achieve these aims, a collaborative action research design ~~will be~~was employed over the period of five weeks in a class of 21 EFL students. Data ~~will be~~were collected through the students' writing artefacts, followed by interviews with students and the teacher and classroom observations. Findings of this study ~~are expected to~~and their implications are useful for ~~assist~~EFL writing teachers in similar contexts who wish to make the best use of peer feedback activity in their courses to improve students' writing competence.

带格式的: 字体: 13 磅

带格式的: 字体: 13 磅

带格式的: 行距: 1.5 倍行距

**References**

Alnasser, S. (2015). Improving the effectiveness of the peer feedback technique: The impact of focusing EFL student-writers on macro level features. *International Journal of English Language Education*, 3(1), 92-112. Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275153717\\_Improving\\_the\\_Effectiveness\\_of\\_the\\_Peer\\_Feedback\\_Technique\\_The\\_Impact\\_of\\_Focusing\\_EFL\\_Student-writers\\_on\\_Macro\\_Level\\_Features](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275153717_Improving_the_Effectiveness_of_the_Peer_Feedback_Technique_The_Impact_of_Focusing_EFL_Student-writers_on_Macro_Level_Features)

带格式的: 字体: 13 磅

带格式的: 字体: 13 磅, 加粗, 字体颜色: 自动设置

带格式的: 行距: 1.5 倍行距

带格式的: 字体: 13 磅

带格式的: 缩进: 左侧: 0 厘米, 首行缩进: 0 厘米

带格式的: 两端对齐, 缩进: 悬挂缩进: 5.4 字符

- [Banegas, D. \(2012\). Teacher professional development through collaborative action research: Impact on foreign English-language teaching and learning. \*Educational Action Research\*, 21\(2\), 185-201. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09650792.2013.789717>](#)
- [Bartels, N. \(2004\). Written peer response in L2 writing. \*English Teaching Forum\*, 4\(1\), 34-67.](#)
- [Berg, E. \(1999\). The effects of trained peer response on ESL students' revision types and writing quality. \*Journal of Second Language Writing\*, 8, 215-241. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1060374399801155>](#)
- [Braun, V., & Clarke, V. \(2006\). Using thematic analysis in psychology. \*Qualitative Research in Psychology\*, 3\(2\), 77-101.](#)
- [Burns, A. \(2010\). \*Doing action research in English language teaching: A guide for practitioners\*. New York: Routledge.](#)
- [Caulk, N. \(1994\). 'Comparing teacher and student responses to written work. \*TESOL Quarterly\*, 28\(8\), 181-188. Retrieved from \[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3587209?seq=1#page\\\_scan\\\_tab\\\_contents\]\(https://www.jstor.org/stable/3587209?seq=1#page\_scan\_tab\_contents\)](#)
- [Guardado, M., & Shi, L. \(2007\). ESL students' experiences of online peer feedback. \*Computers and Composition\*, 24\(4\), 443-461. Retrieved from \[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/250736477\\\_ESL\\\_students'\\\_experiences\\\_of\\\_online\\\_peer\\\_feedback\]\(https://www.researchgate.net/publication/250736477\_ESL\_students'\_experiences\_of\_online\_peer\_feedback\)](#)
- [Hossain, M., Islam, K., Sayeed, M., & Kauranen, I. \(2015\). A comprehensive review of open innovation literature. \*Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management\*, 7\(1\), 2-25.](#)
- [Hu, G. \(2005\). Using peer review with Chinese ESL student writers. \*Language Teaching Research\*, 9, 321-342. Retrieved from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1191/1362168805lr169oa>](#)

Jahin, J. (2012). The effect of peer reviewing on writing apprehension and essay writing ability of prospective EFL teachers. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 37(11), 60-84.

Keh, C. (1990). Feedback in the writing process: A model and methods for implementation. *ELT Journal*, 4, 294-304.

Kemmis, S. and McTaggart R. (1988). *The Action Research Planner*. Melbourne: Deakin University Press.

Koshy, V. (2005). *Action Research for Improving Practice: A Practical Guide*. SAGE Publications.

Lam, R. (2010). A peer review training workshop: coaching students to give and evaluate peer feedback. *TESL Canada Journal*, 27(2), 114-127. Retrieved from <https://teslcanadajournal.ca/index.php/tesl/article/view/1052>

LoCastro, V. (1994). An introduction to pragmatics: Social action for language teachers. *Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language Journal*, 8(2).

Marshall, C. and Rossman, G. (2016). *Designing qualitative research* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Matsuno, S. (2009). Self-, peer-, and teacher-assessments in Japanese University EFL writing classrooms. *Language Testing*, 26, 75-100. Retrieved from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0265532208097337>

Mertler, C. (2017). *Action Research: Improving Schools and Empowering Educators* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Min, H. (2005). Training students to become successful peer reviewers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 33, 293-308. Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222909151\\_Training\\_students\\_to\\_become\\_successful\\_peer\\_reviewers](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222909151_Training_students_to_become_successful_peer_reviewers)

Min, H. (2006). The effects of trained peer review on EFL students' revision types and writing quality. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 15*, 119-141.

Nicol, D., & Macfarlane - Dick, D. (n.d.). Formative assessment and self - regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education, 31*(2), 199-218. Retrieved from [https://www.reap.ac.uk/reap/public/Papers/DN\\_SHE\\_Final.pdf](https://www.reap.ac.uk/reap/public/Papers/DN_SHE_Final.pdf)

Nordquist, R. (2018). Writing portfolio (composition): Glossary of grammatical & rhetorical terms. *Language Teaching Research, 5*(1).

Noseworthy, E. (2004). Vietnamese teachers' and students' beliefs about learning. *Teacher's Edition, 22*, 22-27. Retrieved from <http://www.nzdl.org/gsd/collect/literatu/index/assoc/HASH9a30.dir/doc.pdf>

Patton, M. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods*. Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE Publications.

Pham, T. H. T. (2011). An investigation of perceptions of Vietnamese teachers and students toward cooperative learning (CL). *International Education Studies, 4*(1), 3-12.

Raimes, A. (1983). Techniques in teaching writing. *Open Journal of Social Sciences, 4*(6). Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234585117\\_Techniques\\_in\\_Teaching\\_Writing](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234585117_Techniques_in_Teaching_Writing)

Rollinson, P. (2005). Using peer feedback in the ESL writing class. *ELT Journal, 59*, 23-30. Retrieved from <https://academic.oup.com/eltj/article-abstract/59/1/23/429805?redirectedFrom=fulltext>

Sagor, R. (2008). *Guiding School Improvement with Action Research*. Virginia: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

- Schreurs, J., & Dumbraveanu, R. (2014). A shift from teacher centered to learner centered approach. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 4(3), 36-41. Retrieved from <https://online-journals.org/index.php/i-jep/article/view/3395/3192>
- Singh, K., & Hoon, T. (2016). Effects of structured peer feedback on secondary school students' text revision. *The English Teacher*, 45(3), 118-141. Retrieved from [http://epi.sc.edu/ar/AS\\_4\\_files/Min%202006.pdf](http://epi.sc.edu/ar/AS_4_files/Min%202006.pdf)
- Tout, D. (n.d.). The teacher as a facilitator and resource person. *Teacher*.
- Tran, Q., & Fredric, W. (n.d.). Skills development in higher education in Vietnam. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 15(4), 565-586. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13602380802364175>
- Tran, V., & Lewis, R. (2012). The Effects of jigsaw learning on students' attitudes in a Vietnamese higher education classroom. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 1(2), 9-20.
- Trinh, Q., & Nguyen, T. (2014). Enhancing Vietnamese learner's ability in writing argumentative essays. *The Journal of Asia TEFL*, 11(2), 63-91.
- Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2005). *Qualitative Interviewing: The Art of Hearing Data* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Ur, P. (n.d.). *A course in language teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wallace, M. (1998). *Action Research for Language Teachers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wang, W. (2014). Students' perceptions of rubric-referenced peer feedback on EFL writing: A longitudinal inquiry. *Assessing Writing*, 19, 80-96. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1075293513000524>
- Weiss, R.S. (1994). *Learning from Strangers: The Art and Methods of Qualitative Interview Studies*. New York: The Free Press.

White, M., & Marsh, E. (2006). Content analysis: A flexible methodology. *LIBRARY TRENDS*, 55(1), 23-45.

Yang, M. (2006). A Comparative study of teacher feedback and peer feedback in Chinese EFL writing class. *Modern Foreign Languages*, 3, 293-301.

Yulianto, T. (2016). Utilizing peer and teacher feedback in academic writing class. *Journal of English Education*, 4(2), 161-170. Retrieved from <https://journal.uniku.ac.id/index.php/ERJEE/article/view/331>

Zheng, C. (2012). Understanding the learning process of peer feedback activity: An ethnographic study of Exploratory Practice. *Language Teaching Research*, 7(2).

Zhu, W. (2001). Interaction and feedback in mixed peer response groups. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 10(4), 251-276. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1060374301000431>

